

REMARKS

Claims 1-34 are pending in the application. The Office action objected to the drawings for failing to show "method 400" and to the specification for the mislabeling of the second antenna as ref. no. 230 instead of 220. The applicants note with appreciation the examiner's allowance of claims 1-34.


With respect to the drawing objection, the Abstract incorrectly referred to the "method 400." The abstract has been amended to correctly refer to the "method 500." This amendment corrects what was clearly a typographical error. The method 500 is show in FIG. 5 and referred to elsewhere in the specification, for example, page 13, line 11. No new matter has been added. This addresses the objection to the drawings and the applicants request the objection be removed.

With respect to the objection to the specification, the applicants have made the correction as suggested by the examiner, amending the Abstract to correct the ref. no. for the second antenna to 220 from 230. FIGs. 2-4 and the written description show and discuss the second antenna using ref. no. 220. No new matter was added. The applicants request the objection be removed.

In view of the above, this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. No fees are believed due, but if a fee is required, the Commission is directed to charge deposit account 13-2855.

Dated: January 26, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Jeffrey K. Bergen

Registration No.: 51,460
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300
Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300
Agent for Applicant